

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/643,872	08/20/2003	Yukio Katsuzawa	392.1809	392.1809 5202	
21171 7	7590 03/02/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700			NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, HANH N	
	ORK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		2834		
			DATE MAIL ED. 02/02/200	DATE MAIL ED: 03/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/643,872 KATSUZAWA ET AL		KATSUZAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nguyen N. Hanh	2834	

	Nguyen N. Hanh	2834	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS		-	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abar an amendment, affidavit, or other leal (with appeal fee) in compliance	ndonment of this app evidence, which place e with 37 CFR 41.31;	es the or (3) a
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date or ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a nd the corresponding amount of the fee. tutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CAPP Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the temporary. 	1.37 must be filed within two mont FR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	hs of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a	the Notice of
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		oecause .
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	` ''		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	•		•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-6. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ill be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	is necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).)
			Marac
		CUREDVICTOR DATE	INDERG

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the limitation "a plurality of cooling air passage portions is isolated from the supply section, is intergrally provided on the inside of the cylindrical member to extend toward the cooling fan is arranged to surround the supply section, and prevents cooling air from leaking from the cylindrical member" is new a issue that require further search and consideration.